

# Assessing the importance of taxation on foreign direct investment: evidence from Southeast Asian developing countries

Athiphat Muthitacharoen<sup>1</sup>

This study examines the influence of taxation on foreign direct investment (FDI) using data from Southeast Asia. It employs the quantile regression approach with fixed effects that provides a comprehensive view of the tax sensitivity across the FDI distribution. Estimates confirm the significantly negative impact of the bilateral effective average tax rate and indicate the marked difference in the tax sensitivity levels at the two ends of the distribution. This stresses the importance of understanding the effect of taxation across the distribution rather than only at the mean. The economic significance of the tax is also relatively smaller than that of other fundamental factors such as labour quality and governance.

**Key words:** Tax incentives, international tax, corporate income tax, FDI, Southeast Asia

---

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Economics, Chulalongkorn University (athiphat.m@chula.ac.th). I would like to thank the research center for international tax research (2016) and the Osaka University Research Workshop (December 2016) for their helpful comments and suggestions. Nanthawat Ouyinprasert provides excellent research assistance. This project receives financial support from Chulalongkorn Economic Research Centre.



such micro data for developing countries, it is critical for re







**Table 1: Distribution of Real Net FDI Flows (Unit: million USD)  $\text{€}$** 

<b>Percentiles</b>				
10	30	50	70	90
-9.54	19.86	166.80	498.95	2843.87

**Table 2: Summary Statistics for the Variables Used in the Empirical Analysis**

<b>Variables</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>S.D.</b>
Real net FDI flow (millions)	641	938.60	166.80	2,748.98
Bilateral EATR (Max incentives)	641	16.11	14.83	6.54
Bilateral EATR (Standard treatment)	641	32.63	32.11	6.15



( 0 3 , 5 , & \$ / 5 ( 6 8 / 7 6

#### 4.1 % DVHOLQH HVWLPDWHV

quantile regression model with fixed effects.<sup>6</sup> The baseline model describes the net FDI flows as a function of the bilateral EATR under maximum tax incentives and other control variables. It also includes country-pair and as well as year-fixed effects. The results for the 10th, 30th, 50th, 70th and 90th percentiles are provided in Columns 1-5 of Table 3.



H-RXUQDO RI 7D[ 5HVHDFK

\$VVHVVLQJ WKH LPSRUWDQFH RI WDWLWLRQ RQ

1RWH (DFK UHJUHVVLRQ LQFOXGHG HEIRWKWRXIQWENLS  
SDUHQWKHVHV LQGLFDWH ERRVWVEDWSRIGVVWDQGDU  
GHQRWHV VLJQLILFDQFH DW WKH \ DQG OHYHO

7DEOH 6HOHFWHG %HWD &RHILFH(QWL\$DWRFLDWH

---

9\$5,\$%/(

---

%LODWHI  
(\$75

/DERU  
SURGXFV

5XOH RI

---

6RXUFH \$XWKRU V HVWLDPDWH

5REXVWQHVV WHVWV

, SHUIRUP DQG GLVFXVV D VHULHM R X W HVW X OWRV V YRXU  
„H W` 0%, „Inclusion ofnla` E™UE• E« p0S Me”T` Q`H



confirm the negative and significant impact of taxation and illustrate that investment associated with country pairs at the tails of the distribution is subject to noticeably different levels of tax-sensitivity. This underlines the importance of equipping policy-makers with a comprehensive understanding of the effects of taxation rather than focusing only on the effect at the mean. Another important finding is that the economic significance of the tax is relatively smaller than that of labour productivity and rule of law. This suggests that policy-makers should not overemphasise the role of taxes since other economic and governance factors are also important determinants of FDI. Finally, it is important to note that, while this study takes into account both domestic and international tax aspects of host countries, it does not take into account important tax issues such as tax certainty, tax compliance burden and international tax avoidance opportunities. I leave these issues as avenues for future research.

Egger, P, Loretz, S, Pfaffermayr, M & Ykppgt." J"422;."-Dkncvgtcn"ghhgevkg"vcz"tcvgu"cpf"htgkip"ftggev" kpxguv o gpvø. *International Tax and Public Finance*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 822-849.

Goodspeed, T, Martinez-Xc|swg|. "L" ( " \ jcp i."N"4233."-Rwdnke" rqnkekgu"cpf"HFk"nqecvkqp<"Fkhhgtgpegu between devenqrkpi"cpf"fgxgnqrgf"eqwvktguø." *FinanzArchiv: Public Finance Analysis*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 171-191.

Mng o o." C" 4234." -Ghhgevkg" cxgtci g"vcz"tcvgu" hqt" rgt o cpgpv" kpxguv o gpvø." *Journal of Economic and Social Measurement*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 253-264.

Klemm, A & Xcp"Rct{u."U"4234."-Go rktkecn" gxfgpeg" qp"vjg"ghhgevu"qh"vcz" kpegvkvxguø." *International Tax and Public Finance*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 393-423.

Ovvvk."L" ( " I twdgtv." J"4226."-Go rktkecn"cu{ o o gvtkgu"kp"htgkip"ftggev" kpxguv o gpv"cpf"vczcvkqpø. *Journal of International Economics*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 337-358.

Razin, A & Sadka, E 2012,

\$33(1', ;

**Table A1: Variance Decomposition of the Variables Used in the Empirical Analysis**





**Table A3: Robustness Test ± Including Control Gravity, Economic and Infrastructure Variables**

VARIABLES	(1) 0.1	(2) 0.3	(3) 0.5	(4) 0.7	(5) 0.9
Bilateral EATR	-128.3** (53.20)	-102.6**	-101.4** (49.97)	-102.4** (50.64)	-94.3* (51.02)
Lagged host GDP	-21,597.7 (19,444.43)		-22,759.7 (20,952.3)	-22,963.6 (20,097.13)	-22,902.7 (20,184.26)
Lagged home GDP				2,366.5 (2,586.21)	2,408.3 (2,510.66)
Lagged host GDP per capita				25,097.6 (22,627.69)	25,545.4 (22,853.64)
Labor productivity				7,833.2* (4,114.43)	5,675.1



**Table A5: Robustness Test  $\pm$ Conditional Mean Estimate**

VARIABLES	Mean
Bilateral EATR	-108.7** (46.45)
Lagged host GDP	-14,587.5 (27,536.44)
Lagged home GDP	2,384.8 (2,119.61)
Lagged host GDP per capita	15,108.6 (36,160.81)
Labor productivity	5,909.2* (3,431.51)
Host trade openness	11.4 (49.58)
Home trade openness	7.6 (13.84)
Host financial openness	-37.7 (136.44)
Home financial openness	35.9 (48.51)
Air transport	2,625.1 (3,395.58)
Cellular subscription	-5.8 (21.37)
Regulation quality	37.7 (37.92)
Corruption control	-3.4 (16.27)
Rule of law	71.8** (32.14)
Political stability	14.5 (32.68)
Voice and accountability	-86.0 (63.43)
Government effectiveness	-65.0 (64.84)
Constant	189,279.8 (470,380.78)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.889
Observations	641

Uqwteq&lt;"Cwv jqtøu"guvk o cvg"

Note: The regression includes both country pair- and year-fixed effects. Numbers in parentheses indicate robust standard error. \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denotes significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively.