

eJournal of Tax Research

Volume 14, Number 1

July 2016

CONTENTS

- 4 Country-by-country reporting: An assessment of its objective and scope
Monique Longhorn, Mia Rahim and Kerrie Sadiq
- 34 A comparative analysis of Australian and Hong Kong retirement systems
Christopher Strano and Dale Pinto
- 61 7 D [S U R I H V V L R Q D O V † S H I E H S W L R Q
complexity
Hughlene A Burton and Stewart Karlinsky
- 96 Book-tax conformity: The review of recent research and its implication for the IFRS adoption in Europe
David Procházka and Jan Molin
- 119 A typology of sales tax noncompliance: Targeting enforcement to diverse intentions
Cary Christian
- 148 The net benefit of increasing alcohol taxation in Thailand
Bird Chonvi harnpan and Phil Lewis
- 166 The hidden compliance cost of VAT: An exploration of psychological and corruption costs of VAT in a developing country
Nahida Faridy, Brett Freudenberg, Tapan Sarker and Richard Copp
- 206 The evolution of electronic filing procedures: Revenue and Customs: The case of XBRL adoption
Rania Mousa
- 235

**High
it**

i

ip

¹ dv

5000000000
 5000000000
 5000000000
 5000000000
 5000000000
 5000000000

. 5000000000
 . 5000000000

. 5000000000
 , 5 d10 p

5000000000
 5000000000

of the... (1989).

(1989)

... (2003). ...

(1989)

... (1989)

(1989)

(1989)

(1989)

(1989)

(1989)

(1989)

152 . (2008) 153

\$ (\$2558.2	in	\$ (\$5576.3	in
(\$2923.2	in			in

in	1978)	1976
----	------	---	------

US\$19.97
 0.00

US\$	\$0.38	US\$	\$20.6	US\$	\$44.2
------	--------	------	--------	------	--------

US\$	\$0.38	US\$	\$6.6	US\$	\$11.9
------	--------	------	-------	------	--------

US\$2.1	US\$	\$2.7
---------	------	-------

P

US\$	(1998)	US\$	US\$
------	--------	------	------

US\$	1992	US\$	US\$
------	------	------	------

US\$	US\$7.52	US\$	US\$4.14
------	----------	------	----------

US\$1.36	US\$	US\$1.3
----------	------	---------

P

2006 (2010). μ = 167 in 2009, 111 in 2010. σ = 48.6 in 2009, 5.87 in 2010. ρ = 0.83, 0.17, 0.09.

2006 (2010). μ = 167 in 2009, 111 in 2010. σ = 48.6 in 2009, 5.87 in 2010. ρ = 0.83, 0.17, 0.09.

3. METHOD

3.1. $3_6 L 3_5 E 2_3$

3.2. $2_3 LD: 2_6 F 2_5; 2_5$

3.3. $DL 2_3 3 J 2_2$

3.4. 3_5

3.5. 3_6

3.6. 2_6

3.7. 2_5

MR

MR

MR

Wh 6' s 26 s 36 s
 the
 ins :

26 L P 36 F P 35

Wh 26 s 36 s 35 s 36 s
 the
 in 6 s 6 s 6 s 6 s
 to ()

6 \$ L 6 ' E 2 ' T P A N J K I P O : 2 % E 2 5

6 2 % E 2 5 L 2 6 E & A = @ SDRHEICO O

6 \$ L 6 ' E 2 ' T P A N J K I P O A = @ SDRHEICO O

4. DATA

Table 6.10 0 0 1 261.65 563.38 0726>-3<9(p11(1)-453>-2(f-3(0 1)) 0 0 1 324.41 541.27 0003>

Figure 1: Average drinking per day in litre, 2007 (per cent)

6 2007)

2007 p b h n h h

h h h h h

1, h h h h h

(s)-56() 56() 57 57 567()-4() 567()

. h

1. 1 in
2. 1 in s
3. 3 in s
4. 7 in s d
5. 0 in s

2004

2004
2004
2004
2004
2004
2004
2004
2004
2004
2004

(2004)

(2004)

Table 2: Estimated decrease in cost of alcohol consumption (billion baht)

	Change in tax rate in per centage	
	5	10
๒	0.048	0.095
๓	0.0014	0.0027
๔	0.0008	0.0015
๕	0.0068	0.014

The estimated change in benefit of alcohol consumption of 5 and 10 per cent tax increase, under different price elasticities of heavy drinkers only, is shown in Table 4. The results show that the estimated change in benefit of alcohol consumption is positive and significant for both 5 and 10 per cent tax increase, under different price elasticities of heavy drinkers only. The estimated change in benefit of alcohol consumption is 15.06 for 5 per cent tax increase and 30.12 for 10 per cent tax increase, under different price elasticities of heavy drinkers only. The estimated change in benefit of alcohol consumption is 0.19 for 5 per cent tax increase and 0.93 for 10 per cent tax increase, under different price elasticities of heavy drinkers only.

Table 4: Estimated change in benefit of alcohol consumption of 5 and 10 per cent tax increase, under different price elasticities of heavy drinkers only

	Change in tax rate in per centage			
	5		10	
Benefit (M)	0.1	0.25	0.1	0.25
Benefit (M)	15.06	15.06	30.12	30.12
Benefit (M)	0.19	0.47	0.37	0.93



๒๕๖๑
 ๒๕๖๒
 ๒๕๖๓
 ๒๕๖๔
 ๒๕๖๕
 ๒๕๖๖
 ๒๕๖๗
 ๒๕๖๘
 ๒๕๖๙
 ๒๕๗๐

Table 5: Estimated decrease in cost of alcohol consumption of tax increase (billion baht)

	Change in tax rate in per centage	
	5	10
๒๕๖๑		

162

163

164

164

164

164

7. REFERENCES

35(3) Alcohol & Alcoholism 263 (2000)

Australia, Future Tax System Final Report: Detailed Analysis
(2015) [http://www.ato.gov.au/ATO/content/view/full/1311177](#)

E H J

ER

ER

ER