Volume 11, Number 1

Jun@013

**CONTENTS** 

23

Indicators of tax morale: an exploratory study

Margaret McKerchar, Kim Bloomquist and Jeff Pope

Tax incentives to encourage migration of skilled labour: another tax expenditure or a failure of tax residence?



**EDITORS OF THIS EDITION** 

Associate Professor Binh Tran - Nam School of Taxation and Business Law (Atax), Universit

of New South Wales

Associate ProfessoNolan Sharkey School of Taxation and Business Law (Atax), Universit

of New South Wales

PRODUCTION EDITOR

Edmond Wong School of Taxation and Business Law (Atax), University

of New South Wales

EDITORIAL BOARD

Professor Robin Boadway Department of Economics, Queen's University

Associate Professor Cynthia Coleman Fa ET EMC Q BT /H3 <</MCID 15 >> BDC /TT1 -4(an)]T

## **PUBLISHER**

The School of Taxation and Business LaAwa(x) is part of the Australian School of Business hat t University of New South Wales. We are the largest tax school in any university in Australia, bringing together a team of expert academic staff with backgrounds in law, commerce, tax, accounting and economics. At Atax, we're working towards building excellence in the tax profession, looking at tax from both a theoretical and practical perspective.

### **EDITORS' NOTE**

The *eJournal of Tax Research* is a refereed journal that publishes original, scholarly works on all aspects of taxation. It aims to promote timely dissemination of research and public discutation of related issues, from both theoretical and practical perspectives. It provides a channel for academics, researchers, practitioners, administrators, judges and policy makers to enhance their understanding and knowledge of taxation. The journal emplaces the interdisciplinary nature of taxation.

### SUBMISSION OF ORIGINAL MATERIAL

Submission of original contributions on any topic of tax interest is welcomed, and should be sent as an email attachment (Microsoft Word formato) the Production Editor atextr@unsw.edu.au>. Submission of a manuscript is taken to imply that it is an unpublished work and has not already been submitted for publication elsewhere. Potential authors are requested to follow the "Notes to Authors", which is available from the jogal's website.

### **WEBPAGE**

Current and past issues of the *eJournal of Tax Research* available via the journal's website: http://www.asb.unsw.edu.au/research/publications/ejournaloftaxresearch/Pages/default.aspx

# The use of discretions in taxation: the case of VAT in Bangladesh

Ahmed Munirus Saleheen\*

### Abstract

Discretion is an inevitable part of bureaucratic action and discretion is positioned between the tax authority's immediate concern for maximizing revenue and the wider concerns for down governance. Striking a balance between the conflicting concerns is more challenging in dev9tsning countries than in ot

Bangladesh is one of the first two Southanscountries that adopted VAT in 1991 by replacing the age old 'excisted and the domestically produced goods and services and sales tax at the importation stage with the express aim to 'to expand the tax base, simplify the tax collection procedure and curb the tax evasion' (Government of Bangladesh 1991a).

Allegedly characterized by the presence of undue and excessive discretionary powers vested in the tax administration by the primary and secondary legislation, Bangladesh's VAT has caused a great detaylievances amongst taxpayers since its inception. Among the tax issues that hasteracted wide media coverage are key stakeholders' complaints, specifically bussis people about excessive tax discretions which surely figure more prominently than others.

Given the wide ramifications of excessive and uncontrolled discretions in Bangladesh's VAT regime and that no acadeshizely of this phenomenon has so far been done, the aim of the present paper isn'testigate, in the context of VAT in Bangladesh, the level of discretion accorded executive branch of government including the tax administration. Essentially perative in nature, the study attempts to consider discretionary powers from the cial science perspective in terms of decision goals and decision process (Hawkins 1992) as impacting the principles of good governance such as transparency pure ability and certainty. Rather than considering the relationship of discretion will be conceptions of justice or rule of law, which is the domain of jurisprudence, attempt has been made in the paper to explore social problems that uncontrolled or excessive discretions can create in the tax jurisdiction of a developing country.

obtains the lion's share of its tax-rewae from VAT. VAT including supplementary duty<sup>3</sup> presently accounts for 56.85% of the total revenue and 5.09% of GDP (NBR 2011).

As in many other tax administrations in different countries, in Bangladesh, the presence of excessive discretionary powerts entax laws has been a butt of criticism as well as grievances from the business quarter grievances manifested especially during the series of consultative meetintest the National Board of Revenue (NBR), the apex body for tax policy and implementation, held with different stakeholders prior to the annual budget. For example, in a recent meeting with the NBR, the Federation of Bangladesh Chambers Commerce and Industry (FBCCI), the country's peak representative organisative presenting the interest of the private sector in trade and industry, proposed the reduction of discretionary powers of VAT officials in a bid to ensure hassleefer business (Financial Express 2012). FBCCI particularly expressed its concern over VAfficials' excessive power to search and seize conveyances carrying VAT-able goods.

Not only business bodies, the general taxpayers and civil society members have also expressed their concern over the tax of societis cretionary power (Financial Express 2010). As a result of the growing concern over this issue, the Finance Ministers of different governments in Bangladesh via pledged to reduce tax officials' discretionary powers in their budget speeches. For example, in the FY 2005-06 budget speech, the Finance Minister mentioned the reduction of discretionary powers of tax officials along with measures to ensute ansparency and dynamism in tax administration as a major reform measu (Government of Bangladesh 2005). The same commitment to further reducing administrative and liability discretion of tax authorities appeared in the budget speech speech specificallowing period. In the most recent budget speech (FY2012-2013), the Finance Minister mentioned limiting the discretionary powers of tax officials as one of the fundamental principles of revenue collection (Government of Bangladesh 2012).

### 22 **DI**

The use of discretions as a central and inevitable part of the legal system as well as a feature of decision-making process has been a contested issue. There are a host of arguments for and against the use of discretion both in judicial and administrative laws. While Hawkins (1992) regarded discretion as a "central and inevitable part of the legal order", Davis (1969, cited in Walains 1992) regarded discretion as the major source of injustice. Advantages and discantages of the use of discretion with reference to both legal jurisprudence abureaucracy have been quite widely discussed in the literature on discretion. Wasterne consider the relationship between rules and discretion as opposing entities (e.g. Davis) some others (e.g. Dworkin) refuted the supposed dichotomy betweensualnd discretion; Dworkin's metaphor for discretion as 'the hole in the doughnut' - discretion like the hole in the doughnut, does not exist except as an area left open by a surrounding area of restriction'- (1977b:31 cited in Sainsbury 1992 implies that schietion is in fact bounded by rules. Here

Countries Develop: The Role of Fiscal Policy. G. e. al. Washington, D.C., International Monetary Fund.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supplementary duty is an excitise selective tax imposed by VATAct. VAT alone accounts for 39.45% of tax revenue.

emerges the argument that 'the use désunvolves discretion, while the use of discretions involves rules' (Hawkins 1992:12). Though in the Weberian bureaucracy there is no room for discretion in decision-making, later scholars have recognized that discretion is an inevitable part of bureaucratic action (Feldman 1992).

Discretion has been defined by scholarsnimmerous ways. All definitions, more or less, posit discretion as the power or right to make official decisions using reason and judgment to choose from among acceptable entire. Despite considerable agreement on defining discretion as well as its inevitability prompted by 'vagaries of language, the diversity of circumstances the indeterminacy of purposes' (Galligan, cited in Hawkins 1992:11), what is actually a contested area, is the boundary of discretion- both in judicial and admistrative laws. Admitting the need for some discretion for officials, Davis advocated the

Discretionary powers have been grathtby the Value Added Tax Act, 1991 (henceforth the VAT Act) (Government of Bangladesh 1991b) to three sets of actors as follows:

- x The Governmentrepresented by the Internal Resources Division under the Ministry of Finance;
- x NBR, the apex body for the formulation and implementation of tax policy;

Χ

# 2.3.1 Organisation Level

Delegation of legislative power to the government and the revenue authority is quite common in many countries. Research shows whithin the framework of the rule of law, the parliament makes policy decisions and assigns policy guidelines to the executive (Dourado 2011; Walpole and aless 2011). In the case of Bangladesh, legislative competences as well as specific elisionary powers has been delegated to the Government and the revenue authority.

Government's discretionary powers Among the discretionary powers that thevernment enjoys under the VAT Act in

# 2.3.2 Individual Level

VAT officials have been granted enormodiscretionary powers both under the VAT

3 Ends : New (19 ) 10

Having laid out the wide scope of discretions ferred on the executive branch by the VAT Act and Rules, we can now turn to examine a selection of the use of discretions by different actors.

### 3 Establish

The Government in the exercise of the powers conferred under section 14 of the VAT Act to exempt any goods or services from That issued as many as 36 Statutory Regulatory Orders (SROs) which deal with different greeral and specific exemptions, listing the goods, services or persons entitle dexemptions at different stages of business transaction. These exemption SROs supplement the list of VAT-exempt goods and services appended to the primary law as the First Schedule. This means, in order to find out the taxability of a good or service in the Bangladesh VAT regime, one will have to go through not only the First Schedule of the VAT Act but also all the exemptions set out in the SROs.

### 3 **(6) Villa (11)**

By exercising the power conferred in section 5(3) of the VAT Act to assess VAT on goods on the basis of their retail price at throduction level, the Government initially included seventeen items which has now be to two, namely cigarette and disinfectants. The provision in section 5(3) of the VAT Act allows a cascading method of calculation of VAT. For example, if the MRP is Taka 100, then VAT payable at the manufacturing level is TaKa 15 (Taka 04.as would have been derived from a backward calculation). So the MRP equates manufacture's share of Taka 85 plus VAT Taka 15, which means Taka 15 has be the total rate of 15%. Though in this instance, the problem not so much with the exercise of discretionary powers as with the legal provision itself, the Government's decision to resort to this method of calculations challenged in the Supreme Court by a multinational footwear manufacturer in 1998.

### 3NtosXibbffluib

The "strong" discretionary power that NBR derives from the law, enables it to fix a tariff value for the assessment of VAT fany goods, or, a truncated base for any services. In line with the best internationa

VAT on the basis of actual rate of value addition Rules, 2010". Arising from that statutory regulatory order, as many as nine other effective rates, namely 1.5%, 2.25%, 3%, 4%, 4.5%, 5%, 5.062%, 5.5% and 6% have erged due to different tax bases enumerated in the VAT Rules. For example, rate of VAT for construction services is 4.5% as the VAT assessment is done orbatises of 30% of the total receipt, i.e.

### 6Dobbly

It has already been noted earlier in the palpet one important aspect in the exercise of discretionary powers is the interpredatiof rules and their application. Unlike the discretion conferred on Her Majesty's Reque and Customs in the United Kingdom to interpret the law, the primary legislation of VAT, i.e. the VAT Act does not give the Government or the Board any power to interplaw. In contrast, the VAT Rules made by the NBR under the authority derived from the VAT Act has granted both itself and the Commissioners powers to issue orders, notification, explanation or circular on ensuing matters of their jurisdiction. This power has yielded a good number of explanatory orders, circulars and lettersmeoof which have been alleged to be contradictory to one another (Financial Express 2009).

### 4 AN

To begin with the nature of discretions, it daws said that the discretion granted to the Government and the NBR is ultimate as tlaws not subject to review other than by their own volition. On the other hand, ethdiscretions used by the officers are provisional as they are subject to reviewed possible reversal by another official (Hawkins, 1992). In another dimension, most the discretions are "strong" as the parameters for applying them, either by three anization or by individuals, are not clearly defined or standardized or published.

Though all these discretions are legitimates in far as they are drawn from both primary and secondary legislation, there has been strong criticism from many stakeholders for the inclusion of excessive detionary powers in tax laws in general and VAT law in particular. Despite repeated ministerial pledges to reduce tax discretions and the resultant actions to curtail discretionary powers of tax officials, the extent of discretionary powers is still drei enough to create uneasiness among the taxpayers as far as transparency in applying these and their certainty are concerned. As undue and excessive discretionary powers their concomitant unpredictability, inconsistency and unfairness are seen as noted threats to the wider framework of good governance, tax discretions in VATT Bangladesh have been decried by different segments of stakeholders since interption of VAT in Bangladesh in 1991. In response to this kind of criticism, asted earlier, Finance Ministers have been seen to make a promise to reduce tax of card ministrative discretion almost in each of their past budget speeches (see for plant Government of Bangladesh 2005; Government of Bangladesh 2008).

It is generally argued in the literature that discretion itself is not as unacceptable as the lack of a control mechanism for the ercise of that discretion (Freedman and Vella 2012). In the case of Bangladesh VAT, the an ostensible lack of a control mechanism for the exercise of administratidiscretion, which tends to infuse a great deal of arbitrariness in the application of the analysis and rules. For example, as noted earlier, a VAT officer has the power time crease the value of a product if it appears to him/her that amount of value addition in the productor consideration is significantly low. But there is no written standard of value this addition in respect of the goods.

By contrast, tax administrations in mandeveloped countries, for example, the Australian Tax Office (ATO 2004), have intenguidelines to guide officers in the exercise of the statutory discretion contained in their tax laws. But, the potential for arbitrariness that negates the spirit of the nuf law (Dicey, 1885, cited in Freedman

and Vella, 2011) is amply evident inetIVAT Act and Rules in Bangladesh. Looking at the pervasiveness of the discretion powers especially on the Government's blanket power to exempt any goods or services from VAT and NBR's power to produce rules, the balance between discretionary powers and the rule of law appears to be tilted towards the former. This bias towards the use of discretionary powers by the Government to some extent explains the tf that the Members of the Parliament (MPs) do not have a significant role in the formulation of the country's annual budget, especially the formulation of tax anseres (Sirajuzzaman 2012). This pattern corroborates the fact that despite Parliant being the formal supreme law-making institution, the Government, with its until discretionary powers monopolizes the legislative process from initiation to approval (Rahman 2007).

In addition to adversely contributing toetlimbalance between the revenue authority discretions and the rule of law, the use of discretions causes certain other social impacts.

First, the relationship between discretion and corruption is well established. Though there are no empirical studies, the general per Of this amount, VAT at domestic stageone accounts for Taka 428 billion (GOB 2010b). It is usually believed by the iders in VAT administration as well as many stakeholders that many of these cases owe

more opportunity there is for favouritism and corruption to occur' (ICAC 1995:104). Corruption and unethical behaviour, poormandistrative practice, and inconsistent decision making are some of the conseques of unbridled discretion. This aspect needs to be paid special attention totain jurisdictions like Bangladesh which are more vulnerable to corruption than others. Moreover, besides the tangible negative consequences of undue discretions, imper exercise of discretion affects all stakeholders as it weakens the integrity of the system, and involves the loss of public trust and faith. This is another dimensiontable into consideration for the sake of fostering fair taxation culture in jurisdioths where healthy tax culture is only in a formative stage.

The uniqueness of situation, as some scholars like Handler argue, warrants discretion as its flexibility can be turned to the advage of social justice (cited in Hawkins 1992) but cannot be a defence for the pervassive of discretion in taxation. On the other hand, applying discretions to a set similar situations can give birth to inconsistent decisions causing discretion among the taxpayers. Given the excessive and pervasive presence of discretionary powers at different levels of administration currently existing in Bangladesh's VAT law, replication of some international best practice will be worth considering.

Enormous power of the Government aNBR to produce secondary legislation, without any reference to the Parliameint, the form of SROs and other orders, affecting tax liability of taxpayers in ptacular and other stakeholders' economic decisions in general, has to be regulated rider to ensure certainty and predictability of the system.

In order to prevent discretions from dequation into arbitrariness as has often been alleged in the Bangladesh revenue contextinfining, structuring and checking of discretionary power' (Davis, cited in Hainds 1992:17) is essential at all levels. The confining, structuring and checking of discretionary powers requires a couple of commitments. First, the discretionary behaviour in the decision-making process as a social rather than individual process cae streamlined by some social control (Feldman 1991). This social control obviously entails a paradigm of good governance in the state of affairs as a whole. Given that Bangladesh ranks poorly in the global good governance index (Mahmud, Ahmed et 2008), and its taxation system is alleged to lack good governance qualities (IRom Alo 2012), it is imperative that the tax policy and implementation be infused good governance principles such as participation, transparency and accountability. Second, as for individual discretionary powers, there must be some written guidelines, especially for applying liability discretion. A quideline may contain principles such as: (i) decisions are based on material that can be logically demonstrated; (ii) reasons are given for decisions; (iii) power is used for the proper purpose; and ai certain action is done with integrity, competence, tolerance and in the publteriest (Ombudsman 2006). This guideline, is practiced in many developed countries' taxisdictions in exercising discretionary powers and will ease the situation to aeatr extent and improve administrative practice. Better still, they could be used as tools for making the concerned officers accountable for their action. Moreover, troentrol mechanism is not only essential but also a prerequisite for gaining and retainting trust of the taxpayers in the tax system in order for it to be effective and efficient.

### RR

ATO. (2004). "ATO Practice Statement Law Administration, PS LA 2004/11." Retrieved June 21, 2012, from http://law.ato.gov.au/atolaw/view.http://law.atolaw/view.http://law.atola

Casanegra de Jantscher, M. (1990). Ad**stierr**ing the VAT. Value Added Taxation in Developing Countries: A World Bank S**yros**ium M. Gillis, C. Shoup and G. P. Sikat. Washington, D.C., World Bank.

Cogan, D. D. (2011). Tax Discretion and Rele of Law. The Delicate Balance: Tax Discretion and the Rule of Law. C. Evans, J. Freedman and R. Krever. XXX, IFBD Commonwealth Secretariat (2000). Fighting Corruption Promoting Good Governance. London Commonwealth Expert Group on Good Governance and the Elimination of Corruption.

Dillman, D. L. (2002). "The Paradoxes **D**fscretion and the case of Elian Gonzalez." Public Organization Review, (June 2002).

Government of Bangladesh (1991b). Mula denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Act, 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Act, 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Act, 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Act, 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991). Dhaka, Ministry denonjojon Kar Ain 1991 (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991) (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991) (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991) (Bangla) (Bangla) (Value Added Tax Act, 1991) (Bangla) (Ban

Government of Bangladesh (1991c). MalSongjojon Kar Bidhimala 1991 (Valued-Added Tax Rules, 1991). Dhaka, National Board of Revenue.

Government of Bangladesh (1996). RulesBoofsiness. C. Division. Dhaka, Cabinet Division.

Government of Bangladesh (2005). Budget会如. M. o. F. Finance Division. Dhaka, Finance Ministry.

Government of Bangladesh (2008). Budget Speech M. o. F. Finance Division. Dhaka, Ministry of Finance.

Government of Bangladesh (2012). Budget the A Chronicle of Last Three Years: Building the Future. M. o. F. Finance Division. Dhaka, Ministry of Finance.

Hawkins, K. (1992). The Use of Legal Dietion:Perspectives from Law and Social Science. The Uses of Discretion. K. Hawkins. Oxford, Clarendon Press.

Hossain, Z. (2010). Mulya Shongjojan Kar: Ain Bidhi o Byabohar (Value-Added Tax: Act, Rules and Usage). Dhaka, Dhrupadi Prokashoni.

ICAC (1995). Report on Investigation Randwick City Council. NSW, Independent Commission Against Corruption.

Keen, M. and A. Simone (2004). Tax Pylin Developing Countries: Some Lessons from the 1990s and some Challenges Ahead. Helping Countries Develop: The Role of Fiscal Policy. S. G. e. al. Washington.C., International Monetary Fund.

Klitgarrd, R. (1988). Controlling Corruption Berkeley, University of California Press.

Le, T. M. (2007). Combating Corruption in Revenue Administration: An Overview. The Many Faces of Corruption: Tracking Inderabilities at the Sector Level. E. J. Campos and S. Pradhan. Washington D.C., The World Bank.

Mahmud, W., S. Ahmed, et al. (2008). December Reforms, Growth, and Governance: The Political Economy Aspects of Banglades Development Surprise. Washington, D.C., The World Bank.

Moore, M. (2007). How Does Taxation Affect the Quality of Governance? IDS Working Paper 280. Brighton, Institute of Development Studies.

NBR (2011). Annual Report 2009-2010. N. & Revenue. Dhaka, National Board of Revenue, Ministry of Finance, Government of Bangladesh.

The use of discretions in taxation: the case of VAT in Bangladesh